

« Will the real ClimateGate please stand up? (part 1) | Main

Will the real ClimateGate please stand up? (part 2)

Gene from Greenpeace India is known around the Greenpeace world for stating his opinion loud and clear, and not being a diplomat. He wrote this blog, showing the links, tight and loose, between the various player in the industry of climate inaction.

Note: This is the second part of his blog - if you haven't read part one yet, [start there](#).

Greenpeace has almost 40 years of history as a peaceful organization. Two of [our core values](#) are:

- We 'bear witness' to environmental destruction in a peaceful, non-violent manner.
- We use non-violent confrontation to raise the level and quality of public debate.

This blog entry is about encouraging civil disobedience and non-violent direct action - the kind of peaceful methods that liberated Gene's country (India) from imperialism.

I know Gene, and he's a genuinely peaceful guy who believes in the power of peaceful protest to change the world. Some people are trying to portray him as otherwise. Just read what he had to say in context. He is very specific about what he thinks people should do.

While we encourage and appreciate discussion in the comments, do stay polite and don't make death threats or incite violence. (Not towards our staff, not towards people we disagree with, not towards anyone. Please be nice people. Thanks.)

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)



www.flickr.com



MORE GREENPEACE:

Take action

Twitter

Photos

Support Us

Climate Change
Background

TWITTER FEED:

BLOG: How to make [#whaling](#) even less profitable in 7 hours flat
<http://bit.ly/bd0hGw>
– a detailed account of a Greenpeace action

Al Gore teams up with You Tube for Earth Day
<http://j.mp/bWERSF>
/via [@mashable](#)
[#earthday](#) [#climate](#)

[@Revkin](#) There's also a blog for our successful action in Rotterdam here
<http://bit.ly/cDpFX7>

AFP put out a story less than hour ago,

[twitter](#)

Join the conversation

BLOGROLL:

Greenpeace USA Blog
Greenpeace UK Climate
Blog
Greenpeace Canada
Blog
Greenpeace Australia
Pacific Blog
Solar Generation
TckTckTck Blog
Adopt a Negotiator
oneclimate.net
Oxfam Climate blog
Grist
DeSmogBlog
It's Getting Hot in Here
The UN COP15 Blog
Fossil of the Day
Awards
Rainforest Action
Network
Solve Climate
Real Climate

FEED / BOOKMARK



More feeds:

Political updates only

Add to your page:

- Full feed
- Political updates only

TECHNORATI & STUFF



Just as we were bolting down our investigations and going to press with our findings, something happened in the Czech Republic.

Three months ago, in an unprecedented move that could have far-reaching effects, the small Pacific island nation of Micronesia wrote to the Czech Environment Ministry challenging the expansion of Prunerov 2, the Czech Republic's most polluting coal power plant. Their case? The effect of CO2 emissions from Prunerov and plants like it would eventually see low-lying Pacific islands -- even those that didn't share a border with the polluting country -- submerged due to rising sea levels.

This could have been climate cause-and-effect finally meeting face to face across a crowded courtroom. **But that didn't happen.**

When Environment Minister Dusik told his Prime Minister he intended to reject Prunerov's expansion plans, he was told that was impossible. Rather than do the bidding of fossil fuel corporations, Dusik resigned. While stepping down, he mentioned that the pressure from lobby groups and big business was too much to bear.

So that's what it's come down to. This could have become the first case of a climate-vulnerable country challenging coal power plants beyond its own borders and, in doing so, challenging everyone's right to pollute. This could have put climate justice through its first stress-test. Instead, this has revealed that a watertight legal case, a high moral ground and a credible support base are no match for infinitely-resourced and well-muscled think-tanks.

This Prague Spring has revealed, above all, that the "proper channels" for righting historical wrongs have failed.

The [r]evolution won't be televised.

What do you do when patient petitioning, protest marches and court orders fail? What do you do when all the protocols and cheat codes of democracy fail? This is what you do: you reclaim the language of



MoreCOP
15 photos

democracy from the twisted bunch that have hijacked, cannibalized and subverted it.

Pressuring politicians on climate change is not working. We saw that in Copenhagen. Three months later, we also know why. Which is why the global climate movement now must do course-correction. We need to shift targets and go after the real termites that hollowed out and imploded Copenhagen.

Not Barosso, Obama or Wen Jiabao, but the real obstacles to the climate deal this planet deserves and demands. The oil and gas mafia running loose in New Delhi. The coal magnates that have Canberra by the short and curlies. The petrochemical giants that have placed a firm jackboot on the EU's throat. The fossil fools and nuclear lobbyists that have Washington DC on speed-dial.

We need to hit them where it hurts most, by any means necessary: through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and more.

We need to be inclusive. We need to join forces with those within the climate movement that are **taking direct action** to disrupt the CO2 supply chain. We need to embrace the conservatives too, the ones that choose scientific rigour and court injunctions as their weapons.

And we need to inspire, engage and empower everyone in between... from the AirPlotters stopping the expansion of Heathrow by **purchasing bits of the proposed runway** to the volunteer activists that have been **making life hell for fossil fuel lobbyists in the US**.

Finally, we need to prove repeatedly, consistently, doggedly, that our alternative vision of **a world that runs on clean energy** isn't just a prototype, it's already in production.

Emerging battle-bruised from the disaster zone of Copenhagen, but ever-hopeful, a **rider on horseback** brought news of darkness and light: *"The politicians have failed. Now it's up to us. We must break the law to make the laws we need: laws that are supposed to protect society, and protect our future. Until our laws do that, screw being climate lobbyists. Screw being climate activists. It's not working. We need an army of climate outlaws."*

The proper channels have failed. It's time for mass civil disobedience to cut off the financial oxygen from denial and skepticism.

If you're one of those who believe that this is not just necessary but also possible, speak to us. Let's talk about what that mass civil disobedience is going to look like.

If you're one of those who have spent their lives undermining progressive climate legislation, bankrolling junk science, fueling spurious debates around false solutions, and cattle-prodding democratically-elected governments into submission, then hear this:

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few.

Gene's blog entry is about encouraging PEACEFUL civil disobedience - the kind of peaceful methods that liberated Gene's country (India) from imperialism. Peaceful direct action is part of [our core values](#).

Hear Gene talk about his own activism...

[FYI - Press release about the 2007 protest is here.](#)

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Photo: © Greenpeace / Markel Redondo

Posted by Juliette on April 1, 2010 10:56 AM | [Permalink](#)

Want a good deal for the climate? Sign up as a climate activist!

Email: Country:

COMMENTS

I'm with you!

I don't know if we'll win the battle. However, I'm absolutely sure that those who deserve it will get their trial. The problem is, they can hardly be troubled by a trial that may lay 50 years in the future. The slowness of their crime provides them with a legal delay that surpasses any timescale of relevance to a human life. They can easily commit their crimes and hope they will only be convicted long after they die.

The climate crisis is an unprecedented case and no law in the world was designed to deal with this kind of international and

intergenerational injustice.

The law is nothing more than a pragmatical creation of the human mind to deal with human-induced injustice. It is therefore imperfect and by no means equal to justice, ethics or truth. We therefore have the right to break the law in the name of morality, love and life.

The danger of revolution is that it may start with ideology and end up with brutality. So we need to emphasize nonviolence more than ever. That is why I'm a member of Greenpeace: because nonviolent civil disobedience is the only viable way out of the upcoming catastrophe.

Posted by: Arne Perschel | [April 2, 2010 12:53 PM](#)

Juliette, this is a very threatening post. You will be prosecuted to the full extent of the law and litigated into the stone age.

I have copied this blog and forwarded it to the appropriate officials.

Juliette here: To be clear: There is nothing illegal about using to tools that democracy enables us to use to protect our planet - our wallets and our votes, protest and free speech.

On the other hand, non-violent direct action may sometimes be illegal, but as its name states, it's non-violent.

Posted by: Jack | [April 3, 2010 4:36 PM](#)

"If you're one of those who have spent their lives undermining progressive climate legislation, bankrolling junk science, fueling spurious debates around false solutions, and cattle-prodding democratically-elected governments into submission, then hear this:

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few."

Is this now the way that Greenpeace now wins an argument? By ending an article with thinly cloaked threats of violence? This is the tactic of those who know they have lost. And how did Greenpeace lose? Greenpeace has lost by taking the easy out... by supporting those who have manipulated data and exaggerated their claims.

"Hide the decline", "Himalayan glaciers melting by 2035", "Polar Bears going extinct", omitting weather reporting stations in the wilderness of Canada, China, and Siberia and dozens of other shocking manipulation of data and outlandish lies. These are not the actions of people interested in scientific truth. And now threats. Greenpeace, how low can you go? It is no wonder your organization is losing credibility with the public.

Posted by: Steven Miller | [April 3, 2010 9:19 PM](#)

Earth to Greenpeace....no one cares . I didn't even read the article. Its over for you guys and your AGW ideology/scam . It just isn't true, and all the spokes fell out of the wheel overnight. Perosnally I never for a second fell for it. You guys rely on the sheeple out there, not the intellectuals . Good luck with your next fast one.

Posted by: Ian Mc Vindicated | [April 4, 2010 12:30 AM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

"

Sounds like a threat, mafia-style. Doesn't buy you any sympathy here.

"We need to hit them where it hurts most, by any means necessary: through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and more."

Oh thanks, i'm german and i'm already paying a shitload. I'm still not impressed.

Now listen you people: Go ahead, become outlaws. Join the prison population and see how its like. You have my full support.

"And we be many, but you be few.

"

I wouldn't be so sure about that. Go ahead, break the law, get arrested. I'll applaud.

Posted by: DirkH | [April 4, 2010 1:08 AM](#)

First of all the idea that human CO2 has any significant impact on climate is totally and forever DEBUNKED. If CO2 had a big impact then that impact would have certainly become evident by now. But NOOOO! Arctic Sea Ice, a trusted indicator of the direction of global temperature has GROWN BACK in only 3 year's time to be right at a 30 year average level. Even your hero Phil Jones now admits that there's now been no significant warming since 1995. In other words, WE ARE NOW COOLING. What part of 'cooling' is it that you do not understand?

If you think you are fighting 'big money' you are 180 degrees wrong. The BIG money is on YOUR side, like 30 BILLION dollars of tax payer money WASTED on 'studying' a problem that does not exist, (instead of putting it to good use to fight REAL poisons in the water and air).

CO2 is the primary gas of LIFE on this planet and here are you trying to stop more it from being around. More CO2 is GOOD for LIFE! I thought Greenpeace was is favor of living things like plants and animals like I am? Apparently not.. you have allowed yourselves to become stooges of the political left parroting their

false claims that millions, (yes, I said millions..), of engineers and scientists like me know are are BUNK!

There are a LOT more of us than there are of you, your gravy train chasing government scientests and your idiot politicians like Henry Waxman who co-sponsored your 'climate legislation' but doesn't even know that there's water under the north pole, (yeah, the idiot really thinks that there's land under there!)

May I suggest that you clean your own house, kick out the progressives who co-opted your organization for their lame political agenda and get back to protecting earth and living creatures from REAL threats, (like wind turbines that kill 100,000's of birds each year all over the world or biofuel mandates that will eventually starve the third world.)

I'm not bankrolling or 'cattle prodding' anyone and nobody is paying me - and it is only me, I know the TRUTH, and know there are LOT more just like me. You are NOT going to shut all of us up with your stinking commie/facist THREATS either.

To think that I actually used to like Greenpeace for some of good things you tried to do...

Posted by: Mike M | [April 4, 2010 2:21 AM](#)

Wallets !! I didn't know you hippies had wallets.

Posted by: FGM | [April 4, 2010 2:56 AM](#)

Congratulations.

You just crossed the line into being a terrorist organization.

Posted by: JM Dennis | [April 4, 2010 4:40 AM](#)

You are a total fucking idiot.

Posted by: DCC | [April 4, 2010 5:15 AM](#)

Hey, I'm one of those who spent my life undermining the "consensus". We don't see eye-to-eye on matters scientific. I wrote the first FOI to CRU requesting Phil Jones' DATA, and guess what?

After lying to me repeatedly and illegally fobbing off my requests, he finally had to admit he'd lost the data ... and you call that "science"? The only reason the police didn't indict him is the statute of limitations had run out.

For Greenpeace publicly to threaten me and my family ("we know where you live") because I don't agree with your beliefs is despicable. You guys have lost the plot entirely when you take to threatening people with whom you disagree.

You do see the "peace" up in your name there? How about living up to it? Call off your dogs, they will only bite you in the end.

Dear GreenPeace,

The true sign of a failed belief system is when its adherents, having failed to persuade the populace by reasoned debate, resort instead to violence. It matters not if we are speaking of the millions who died under Communism's jackboot while their economy disintegrated, or of those murdered by Fascists determined to establish order through racial superiority, or of the brutal repression of the Dark Ages or of Islamic extremists raising their children to become suicide bombers.

What matters is that we understand that these belief systems failed because they were founded upon a false premise. They failed because force of arms and the death of millions can obligate the populace to conform to the belief system, but no amount of brutality and extermination will make the falsehoods into something they are not. The facts will endure no matter how many people are tortured into false confessions, or how many are executed to silence those who would speak the truth. Burning books does not erase the facts within them, only the record of them.

No one let's go of a long held belief system easily. But those who firmly believe in themselves and their facts will redouble their efforts to convince others by means of persuasion. Those who instead resort to violence to impose their beliefs on others are, by doing so, admitting that they have no other means by which to persuade. Their arguments and evidence having failed, they turn instead to coercion.

GreenPeace would do well to expunge from their midst those who imply that violence may be justified to win the global warming debate. If the facts and evidence are indeed with you, then redouble your efforts to research, document and persuade. But if you allow to exist amongst you those who would choose a path of violence, then you have abandoned reason and fact. You may wrap yourself in a cloak of morality, but the blood stains upon your hands will still be yours. Perhaps instead you should pause and ask why it is that you have set one toe on the same path followed before you by those who had naught but violence to suppress the falsity of their beliefs, and that this perhaps has more to do with your failure than you had supposed.

Putting a gun to my head is not an argument. It is a descent into madness. It is an attempt to repeat history, the lessons of which you clearly have not learned.

Posted by: davidmhoffer | [April 4, 2010 5:47 AM](#)

Lol.. what a crazy

Posted by: Tom | [April 4, 2010 5:50 AM](#)

I won't be holding my CO2 breath.

Posted by: Klar | [April 4, 2010 5:50 AM](#)

Come and get me, GreenVIOLENCE. Even your name is a lie, just like your global warming propaganda has been.

Feel free to come to Wyoming and find me, Gene from India. Bring friends. Make it a party.

You won't last three seconds.

NASA (GISS), Hadley, and more have all been shown to be spewing b.s. as "data" and your organization is showing itself to be less and less relevant as the billions wasted on "proving" AGW have failed to do so.

So come and get me, jackass. Show me that the "peace" in Greenpeace is as false as the rest of the organization has proven to be.

Posted by: Aaron | [April 4, 2010 5:52 AM](#)

You sure as hell won't be getting MY support with your bully-boy threats. As for calling CO2 'pollution' you really have taken a leaf from Goebbels propaganda book haven't you

Posted by: DM | [April 4, 2010 7:15 AM](#)

Green "peace"...

If you don't do what we want, "we know where you live"...

"... our alternative vision of a world that runs on clean energy isn't just a prototype, it's already in production."

Sounds like a real nice place to live, as long as you do what we tell you to do.

Posted by: rxc | [April 4, 2010 7:16 AM](#)

I am appalled at this article. It sounds suspiciously like you are making death threats. I have supported Greenpeace in the past, but no more.

Talk about conspiracy theories! I am one of the millions of people who are unimpressed with the current state of climate science, and have been for some time (well before the "Climategate" emails). I think for myself - is that so impossible for you to accept?

Posted by: Anne Dollery | [April 4, 2010 7:55 AM](#)

I overlooked the observation that I presume that if one does not say what you want to hear, then comments will be rejected?

Juliette here: It's Easter week-end. I was away from my computer for over 20 hours. Sorry for the delay in approving the comments. Have a happy Easter :)

This whole article is violent and essentially fascist in nature. Greenpeace should be ashamed of allowing it to be posted under its banner.

"We know where you live" - the cry of the bully everywhere.

Posted by: Mike | [April 4, 2010 8:09 AM](#)

"We know who you are, where you live"?

Lookout, loonies about.

Posted by: Keepleft | [April 4, 2010 9:02 AM](#)

I agree completely. We should hunt them down and bombard them with malodorous pineapples. That will teach them to be sceptical. 'Cause scepticism is evil. They're all evil capitalist bastards. I AHTE THEM! KILL THEM ALL! THE BASTARDS!!1 BLARAHEGRHAEGRERERWER!!11

Posted by: Silly Face | [April 4, 2010 9:42 AM](#)

Charming. Reminiscent of the Nazis approach to dealing with those who disagree with them.

I shall be reporting this to the Charity Commissioner later today.

Posted by: Bernard Sunley | [April 4, 2010 9:53 AM](#)

I was a supporter of you Greenpeace, but this article is simply outrageous.

I know where YOU green people live in Holland.

You should not have made me angry.. the FIGHT is on then..

Better warn you're lazy green bitches... I fuck all of you.

Posted by: Normal Guy | [April 4, 2010 10:00 AM](#)

Call me naive, but I was shocked by the Greenpeace article.

I remember the days when I admired Greenpeace for saving whales, and other laudable causes. I have donated money to them on a number of occasions (though not recently since I became an AGW sceptic). I even visited Rainbow Warrior when I had the opportunity a few years ago, although it was a disappointing experience – the Greenpeacers who were on board at the time were very unfriendly and seemed quite arrogant, as activists often do.

Nevertheless I have always had a bit of a soft spot for Greenpeace, and I am truly shocked that they could publish this nasty, hate-filled article on their official website. I also thoroughly resent the implication that I am unable to think for myself. They won't be getting any more money from me. I have posted a comment (with my real name) on their website but I very much

doubt it will be published.

Posted by: Anna | [April 4, 2010 10:05 AM](#)

These threats are certainly illegal in the USA.

Please remove from your website.!

Posted by: I will not tell | [April 4, 2010 10:06 AM](#)

Bring it on.

give me your address and I'll show you threats.

Cowards!

Posted by: puck langland | [April 4, 2010 10:08 AM](#)

WAR you want.. it is WAR you get.

You damn nazi's

Posted by: You want WAR | [April 4, 2010 10:10 AM](#)

What a filthy article.

Everyone, please withdraw all donations to these extremists

Posted by: Housewife | [April 4, 2010 10:13 AM](#)

You are a bit mad, are you not?

"We know where you live!" ? Nice.

On that basis I shall punch the next Greenpeace "chugger" who approaches me rather than just politely refusing.

Posted by: Jack Savage | [April 4, 2010 10:17 AM](#)

Looks like you have lost the infowars and now your only option is to promote suicide for greenpeace. good luck with that nutball!.

Posted by: PaulsNZ | [April 4, 2010 10:32 AM](#)

Hey lazy bastards without a job,

the article is still here.. please remove.. or else !

Suggest One death greeny for every hours it stays on. Deal ?

*Juliette here: no deal. At no point does Gene threaten anyone with physical violence. Greenpeace believes in **non-violent direct action**. If you believe the blog above is illegal, then your comment also definitively is.*

Have a nice Easter :)

Posted by: Anonymous | [April 4, 2010 10:35 AM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

Thank you to confirm that blatantly that Greepeace is a terrorist group.

Posted by: An Onymous | [April 4, 2010 11:33 AM](#)

You need to take a chill pill man

Posted by: marcfenton | [April 4, 2010 12:06 PM](#)

re: "We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

Please do get in touch.

Posted by: Mac | [April 4, 2010 12:10 PM](#)

Sir,

You do not have the guts to carry out your piss-weak threats.

Geoff

Posted by: Geoff Cranston | [April 4, 2010 12:11 PM](#)

haha so adorable

fight! :)

Posted by: C | [April 4, 2010 12:28 PM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

Intimidation has no place in this or any debate. I will not be giving to Greenpeace again.

Posted by: DB | [April 4, 2010 12:53 PM](#)

I oppose your ridiculous stance. Do you know where I live you Eco-loons? Of course not. Twats.

Posted by: Bertie Wooster | [April 4, 2010 1:13 PM](#)

O Rly?

Posted by: hv | [April 4, 2010 1:58 PM](#)

We've always known you're genocidal fascists - this just another case in point. You're Pol Pot year zero will not happen - in thanks, in part to amature unfunded so called 'skeptics'! Your losing the argument despite your massive funding from shell and other oil companies etc! Bring it on, impotence!

Posted by: Lewis Deane | [April 4, 2010 2:25 PM](#)

Disgusting. You can't get your way so you threaten those who don't share your point of view.

Posted by: Robin Howlett | [April 4, 2010 2:28 PM](#)

So this is what it comes down to? Threats of mob violence? I don't want to fight, but obviously if anyone is hunting me mob-style at home and at work I'm going to retaliate. And most people I know

will as well. That may end up being quite a challenge for you guys.

Posted by: Chris ellison | [April 4, 2010 2:39 PM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few."

Isn't this language more appropriate for the Shining path, Red Brigades, or others? Language like this never accomplishes its goal, unless that goal is the writer trying to sound self-righteous.

Posted by: Stephen G. | [April 4, 2010 3:00 PM](#)

Come and get it then you fascist bastards.

"We know where you live"...Fuck you, you wouldn't last 30 seconds.

I take your threats of violence seriously and will now treat ALL Greenpeace people as potential terrorists who are out to do violence to me and mine.

You have sown the wind....

Posted by: g1lgam3sh | [April 4, 2010 3:33 PM](#)

Thanks to Jihad Gene --Greenpeace has officially crossed the line into an eco-terrorists organization.

Anyone sending any monies in support of Greenpeace will be viewed as a terrorist supporter and be treated in accordance with laws of the US, Canada, and Europe.

Should the "Site owner" chose NOT to publish this comment - I will ensure its published on my blog, and goes viral via twitter & facebook.

And trust me, once an organization becomes part of a countries terrorist watchlist, it will be easy to find out who has donated to Greenpeace..albeit it is already easy to do so.

Dear Juliana,

At no point in this entry did Gene threaten to do anything more than civil disobedience and non-violent direct action - neither of which involve any kind of violence.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Juliana Leblanc | [April 4, 2010 3:33 PM](#)

Please continue calling for violence, making up fantasy conspiracies, and other excuses to blame the problems with AGW on those pointing out the problems of AGW.

Asserting that the climate can be controlled by controlling CO2 is one of the worst of many poor ideas the environmental movement

has ever latched on to.

The only thing worse is to use CO2 obsession as an excuse to commit violence and terrorism.

The strategies and hatred now advocated by Greenpeace, Hansen, and other AGW promoters are the acts of losers, not winners.

Posted by: hunter | [April 4, 2010 3:42 PM](#)

My goodness, this is a way too much. You stop and you listen to yourselves - you terrorists!

I surely hope someone will challenge your actions in a court, you lazy parasites!

You can just dream about getting any more of my money. You should issue one very quick, and a VERY BIG PUBLIC

APOLOGY!

Shame on you!

Posted by: Bob K. | [April 4, 2010 3:42 PM](#)

Bring it on you bitch!

And forget the "we are many" part. Polls in most countries say you are the few because they don't believe your "let US save the earth" bullcrap. And I will be suprised if this comment airs.

BTW. this comment is from Norway. I think I'll have whale steak for dinner today. You know, they are easy to find in the store. Where they belong, right next to the fishsticks. I'm not lying, that is actually true.

Posted by: Kali | [April 4, 2010 3:56 PM](#)

"Dear Juliana,

At no point in this entry did Gene threaten to do anything more than civil disobedience and non-violent direct action - neither of which involve any kind of violence.

Peace,

Juliette"

I call bullshit on you and add hypocrisy to the list of your crimes. This article will be forwarded to the appropriate authorities. Trying to weasel out of what are clearly direct threats of violence will not work.

*Dear g1lgam3sh (I hope for you that's not your real name ;))
Maybe this is just cultural differences after all. Gene comes from India, a country that was freed from imperialism by **non-violent direct action qnd civil disobedience**. Using the same radical methods (and I understand that they make some people uncomfortable) to face the most dangerous threat our planet's climate has ever faced doesn't seem to be bullshit to me. Gene is a good friend of mine, and I know him for being a peaceful person, albeit sometimes quite controversial. Don't*

assume violence if it's not there.

Juliette

Posted by: g1lgam3sh | [April 4, 2010 4:02 PM](#)

By constantly focusing on AGW Greenpeace is being distracted from real, demonstrable environmental problems (deforestation, air pollution etc). And this most recent tack into promoting violence has turned you from a well-meaning if delusion bunch of hippies into a gang of thugs.

Hi,

See the answer to previous comments regarding the violence part. On climate change: we know that Climate Change is real, it's happening now, and it's costing lives already. To do nothing in the face of this would be disowning everything we are.

Regarding [pollution](#), [deforestation](#) and many other issues: fear not, we're still working on them. I hope you'll join us in taking non-violent direct action to tackle these very important issues.

Juliette

Posted by: bigterguy | [April 4, 2010 4:14 PM](#)

Another stupid screed by an intellectually and morally bankrupt organization. Your numbers decrease as your followers get dumber and dumber with every generation. Funny how you use the internet and computers (they are created by chemicals and energy far greater than your feeble minds can comprehend), but that is OK by your hypocritical standards as you are using your "enemy's" methods of communication, to beat him at his own game.

Here is the reality: it is the sun. Unfortunately, your lack of any openness to real science and the gullibility of the masses to follow fear-based tactics (yours is the new religion) based on "models" and "data" that are violations of the Second Law of Thermodynamics.

Now I really never cared for your ilk, as usually people tend to grow up, get a job, pay taxes, and vote. However, after reading this screed, I am beginning to think that you are preaching for violence. Sure, I see your sudden responses to comments stating there is "no threats of physical violence", and you are right: you and your pathetic vegan minions have not a clue to cause violence.

What it will probably be is a bunch of namby-pambies with rocks, faces covered in handkerchiefs or masks (as your cockroach like cowardly actions deem), causing minor damages to small business owners.

You are all weaklings. If you ever tried to march lock step to "overthrow" the rest of us tax paying, business owning voters, we would react with a backlash you and your foolish vegans would

never imagine. And it will be with serious jail time. I will gladly pay extra taxes to see you removed from society to break rocks for the balance of your pathetic lives. Enjoy the shower time with your new companion(s).

Besides, you progressives are a complete joke! None of you can fall upon a single, simple agreement.

Yours Truly,
The Silent Majority

*Dear Von,
Unfortunately, **it's not the sun**, it's us. And the threat we are posing to our planet's climate is such that many people - voters, small business owners, employees, young and old, rich and poor, parents, children - worldwide, are standing up and participating in civil disobedience.
Juliette*

Posted by: Von | [April 4, 2010 4:17 PM](#)

What a horribly threatening tone...absolutely disgusting. Healthy Skepticism is met with personal threats (we know where you live/work/etc.). All of James Cameron's CO2 spewing billion\$ won't help you now - IT. IS. ON.

Posted by: Bryan | [April 4, 2010 4:18 PM](#)

I am eco-fascist, hear me roar!

Posted by: Jeff | [April 4, 2010 5:08 PM](#)

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few.

First of all, I think you'll find you're the ones in the minority.

Second, you want to come after me because I refuse to drink the AGW koolaid?

Go ahead. Make my day.

Posted by: [Natasha](#) | [April 4, 2010 5:10 PM](#)

If you know where I live, you should also know how well armed I am. Don't bring a baby seal to a gunfight, hippie.

*Dear polycraticus,
I don't believe anyone had mentionned a gun fight so far. I'm sorry to say we won't be joining you in it - this is not what civil disobedience and **non-violent direct action** is about.
Juliette*

Posted by: polycraticus | [April 4, 2010 5:19 PM](#)

Yawn. As many others have noted, the rest of us are much better

armed than you fascist hippies. You won't like what you'll get if you bring your crap to our doors.

Posted by: Buck Futt | [April 4, 2010 5:22 PM](#)

War of the Worlds- watermelons

Posted by: Richard Byrne | [April 4, 2010 5:23 PM](#)

All you brainwashed climate terrorists should be rounded up and sent to Iran, Saudi Arabia or maybe China and then you can see how wonderful the countries are, you are helping to wage economic war against us.

CO2 is plant food!!!

Posted by: alf | [April 4, 2010 5:29 PM](#)

Juliette, you are playing with fire. Your semantic defense of the article is feeble at best.

The basic jist of the piece was that AGW proponents have lost the battle for the hearts, minds and wallets of the people by playing nice. It is time to not play nice by going to direct action.

As we have seen with the ARA morons, that road usually leads to incredibly mean spirited (to coin a phrase you like) violence, which is unacceptable.

The post called for violence in an indirect fashion. Don't take us all for fools, you will reap what you sow.

As one blogger in Canada said about your post (and I paraphrase)..they may know where we live, but we have more bullets.

Hi Kursk,

I'm sorry that you don't seem to know the difference between non-violent direct action and violence. For us, the difference is quite clear, and Gene even gave examples in his blog:

"We need to hit them where it hurts most, by any means necessary: through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and more.

We need to be inclusive. We need to join forces with those within the climate movement that are taking direct action to disrupt the CO2 supply chain. We need to embrace the conservatives too, the ones that choose scientific rigour and court injunctions as their weapons."

I don't think we need violence to be a force for positive change - and neither does Gene.

*Peace,
Juliette*

Posted by: Kursk | [April 4, 2010 5:42 PM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where

you work."

Bring it, you trustafarian assholes. PLEASE bring it.

"And we be many, but you be few."

Don't bet on it. The world has one hell of a lot more ordinary working stiffs than it has of dreadlocked white kids who majored in "environmental consciousness studies" at Cannabis State U.

Posted by: greybeard | [April 4, 2010 5:46 PM](#)

Oooohhhh, *I* get it, "Juliette."

Make it *sound* reeeeeeeeeaaaalllllly threatening,
"as if" you would encourage and condone violence -
WHICH YOU DO, whether you intended to or not (not gonna hold my breath for an explicit "correction" on the page) -
and then back off of the "battle cry" ("wet diaper cry" is more like it) in the most cowardly manner.

You bring it on to me or anyone, anywhere near where I live and you may not live to regret it.../s
SEE?! I'M NOT SUGGESTING VIOLENCE EITHER (you might get hit by a car or suffer a heart attack)!

You are such a pack of LOSERS, each and every one of you. Go hug a polar bear...and remember to bring enough orange rope to spell out:

"H-E-L-P C-O-L-D!"

Hi,

*I'm sorry to see we don't have the same definition of **non-violent direct action**, though I hope that we can come to a compromise and say that "the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets", as Gene put it in the blog above, applies under that definition.*

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Czar of Defenestration | [April 4, 2010 5:46 PM](#)

Collectively, you've spent decades mis-educating the world about the Earth Sciences in order to push your simple-minded and utterly discredited economic/social philosophies.

Keep up your ignorance, your hatred of Western Civilization and your pathetic attention-seeking stunts for as long as you want.

Even call it a "war" on those of us who know the truth, if that makes you feel a little tougher.

But you know and I know that history will expose your movement for what it is. Doesn't matter how long you fake it, the truth will win, humanity will win, and Greenpeace will join the long roster of absurdist political organizations that briefly achieves relevance before being exposed for what it is.

Posted by: LincolnTf | [April 4, 2010 5:46 PM](#)

Reminds me of a joke by the Irish comedian Dave Allen, when told by someone that if everyone in China passed his door the line would never end... to which Allen responded "How would they know where I live?"

I suppose he could ask Greenpeace, they know where we ALL live. Apparently

Posted by: David Harrington | [April 4, 2010 5:48 PM](#)

I have one answer for your
"Green" "Peace" ass

7.62

And you WILL get it if you try to take over MY democracy by violence/force/rebellion.

As one other person has already posted earlier. Only a failed religion would resort to violence in its death throes.

GREENPEACE KISS MY ASS! YOU BUNCH OF WATERMELONS!

Hi,

*I'm sorry to see we don't have the same definition of **non-violent direct action**, though I hope that we can come to a compromise and say that "the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets", as Gene put it in the blog above, applies under that definition.*

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Dave | [April 4, 2010 5:49 PM](#)

Anytime you want to try it, greenball, you can open that door. Try not to snivel when something comes out at you, though.

Posted by: Mal | [April 4, 2010 5:53 PM](#)

I come from France and I want to say something :

I love Nestlé. I love my car and I don't like you.

Hello Hello,

Je suis aussi Française, et désolée de voir que vous n'aimez pas Greenpeace. Je suis vraiment curieuse de connaître vos raisons pour cela. La balle est dans votre camp pour commencer une discussion constructive.

Joyeuses Pâques,

Juliette

Posted by: Hello | [April 4, 2010 6:10 PM](#)

I wish I could say we know where YOU live, but your van keeps moving around.

Posted by: PaulH | [April 4, 2010 6:10 PM](#)

Ye Gods Gene, if brains was gunpowder, there wouldn't be enough to blow your hat off.

Think a moment of the ludicrous nature of the risible statements you have made, and the criminal incitements you have made which breach many laws. mamany countries

Posted by: The Truth | [April 4, 2010 6:10 PM](#)

Make your move you Green Nazi Ecotards. We await with pleasure.

I personally look forward to your next face to face solicitation drive.

Posted by: BL@KBIRD | [April 4, 2010 6:12 PM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

Likewise.

Despite Greenpeace's desperate attempts to hide their internal structure, the identity of their directing minds and even their street addresses, it's all there, if you look hard enough in various government registries, many of which are on-line. Right now.

Hmmm.... "Gene Hashmi" "Greenpeace India" Bangalore... Bet I have his home or office address or general whereabouts in under two hours. Is that a threat? Juliette says no, but she's such an obvious liar.

Posted by: Fred Z | [April 4, 2010 6:15 PM](#)

Juliette, Your defense of veiled violent threats are pretty lame. If you want to see what real non-violent civil protest looks like go to a Tea Party event. They are protesting by rallying people to their cause and running people for office. As for Gene, if you know where i live then you also know that I keep a 357 next to my bed and as a man who protected you panty waist butt used to say, "bring it on".

Dear Bob,

*The methods of the tea party movement aren't actually that different from the civil disobedience Greenpeace believes in - expect we don't bring guns to rallies, don't have the same goals, and Greenpeace, as a non-profit organisation, cannot run anyone for office. We protest, we use **non-violence principles promoted by Gandhi**, and as Gene put it, we use "the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets" - and other creative non-violent direct action listed above.*

If you think that's "lame", then maybe we're not as violent as you originally thought? ;)

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: bob sanders | [April 4, 2010 6:15 PM](#)

All I can say is "bring it"! Vague childish threats of violence don't impress me. You're theories are demented farces and you're posturings are laughable.

Your bs theories and manipulated data have probably doomed hundreds of thousands to death or misery. The best example of your ilk was the video of your brethren signing petitions against "dihydrodgen oxide" (that one was hilarious when I viewed it).

You want to throw down for real then you are welcome to come to me anytime, I need the practice.

JD - I've got a feeling we're going to have to agree to disagree on the state of climate science.

I want to clear one thing up though: No one has threatened you with violence. We're a peaceful bunch.

Yes, we're going to expose the money trail behind the climate change denial machine. Yes, we're going to protest, march and maybe even boycott.

But we've been a peaceful organization for the past 39 years, and we're staying that way.

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Posted by: JD | [April 4, 2010 6:17 PM](#)

Dear Juliana,

At no point in this entry did Gene threaten to do anything more than civil disobedience and non-violent direct action - neither of which involve any kind of violence."

Actually, he quite specifically advocates "more"...

"We need to hit them where it hurts most, by any means necessary: through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and more."

I'm guessing if a Tea Partier had used similar language, you'd be calling for his trial at the Hague.

Hi Lincoln,

I would say the "more" mentioned refered to what came after that sentence: using legal means like buying a piece of land, taking non-violent direct action, civil disobedience. I find this actually quite clear in context - but if you didn't, I hope this clears it up for you.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: lincolntf | [April 4, 2010 6:19 PM](#)

Bring it you progressive fascists . . . we kicked the shit out of Adolf so you weenies should be no challenge.

A disgusting de-evolution of a good organization going so fast downhill that it no longer matters.

No more funds, time for criminal investigations.

Or in plain simple English, Greenpeace and Greenpeacers suck.

Really, really suck.

Lee - Got to say I like your spirit. Really appreciate that you don't mince words.

I'll be plain with you in return - We're a peaceful organization. That goes for me, Gene and anyone else acting under the Greenpeace banner.

We've been around for almost 40 years, and we've always stuck to the principle of peaceful civil disobedience.

You can see some examples here...

<http://www.greenpeace.org/me2>

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Posted by: Lee Enfield | [April 4, 2010 6:24 PM](#)

Juliette:

To me it sound like a threat and violence.

this:

"We must break the law to make the laws we need: laws that are supposed to protect society, and protect our future. Until our laws do that, screw being climate lobbyists. Screw being climate activists. It's not working. We need an army of climate outlaws."

and this:

If you're one of those who have spent their lives undermining progressive climate legislation, bankrolling junk science, fueling spurious debates around false solutions, and cattle-prodding democratically-elected governments into submission, then hear this:

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few."

in the uk we have had animal right extremists, commit acts defined as terrorism and even digging up corpses of scientists relatives.. And these guys were only saving animals...

Imagine, how I feel thatthere are extremists out there, that are convince the ends justifes the means, because they are saving the planet...

It is time to make a stand for sanity. those people that have been too polite to stand up to this sort of behaviour, must now say stop no more, PROVE IT

I even had second thoughts about using my name, and letting you have my email address...

You will look after it won't you?

You won't pass it on to any friends like these, will you?

Hi Barry,

Thanks for a constructive comment. Your email certainly is safe with me :)

Do you really believe that being an outlaw immediately makes you a terrorist? What about Gandhi, Martin Luther King, Rosa Parks, Nelson Mandela? They were definitely outlaws, not for being violent, but for breaking the law for higher good. This is the kind of "outlaw" Gene means.

*Defending democracy from people trying to hijack it by **paying politicians to do their dirty work**, that's something we can agree on, isn't it?*

Juliette

Posted by: [barry woods](#) | [April 4, 2010 6:27 PM](#)

Hey asshole, I turned on my gas fireplace just now and I'm not even cold. Come get me.

Hi Paulie,

I am quite baffled as to why you would do such a thing to spite me - I'm not the one paying for your gas bill.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: [Paulie](#) | [April 4, 2010 6:27 PM](#)

this is what happens when 'progressives' don't get their way- they resort to violence.

BRING IT, TREEHUGGERS

Posted by: [Jones](#) | [April 4, 2010 6:28 PM](#)

News Flash: "Greenpeace Hippies Raise Tiny-and-impotent Fists of Fury against World, Reality".

Hi Anna,

*That made me smile. How about **this headline?** :)*

Happy Easter,

Juliette

Posted by: [Anna Keppa](#) | [April 4, 2010 6:29 PM](#)

You're drinking your own bathwater.

First you lie about AGW, then you use those lies as justification for more lies, now you use those lies as justification for illegal acts.

You are insane.

If AGW is so evident, why must the so called scientists lie to prove it?

It's a circle of logic.

Hi Stan,

*Actually, it has been established by a bi-partisan group of parliamentaries in the UK that **none of that alleged "lies" actually happened.***

Climate Change is real, it's happening now and it's going to be incredibly destructive. This is why people everywhere are taking the kind of non-violent direct action Gene talks about above.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Stan | [April 4, 2010 7:40 PM](#)

I agree with your comment on coal and oil. Down with China!
Down with OPEC. I no longer heat with Arab oil or any oil at all. I burn renewable energy only in my woodstove. And Solar porch.
Down with those who still burn oil!

Best regards, Saipan

PS: Freedom for all! Long live Free CBC Forum!

Posted by: Saipan | [April 4, 2010 7:43 PM](#)

How about getting the "deniers" down by using scientific arguments?

Oh, you tried that, and for some reason it doesnt work using science?

Well, then of course one must leave normal sound scientific arguments and try something else.

Hi Frank,

*Actually, a lot of people are doing that, and finding no problems backing the science. You can find a **good resource of arguments here.***

Happy Easter,

Juliette

Posted by: Frank Lansner | [April 4, 2010 7:47 PM](#)

Hi all,

I had a chat with Juliette and we decided to re-open the comments (they were closed for about an hour).

She was understandably freaked out by the violent tone of some of the people posting.

While we encourage and appreciate discussion in the comments,

do stay polite and don't incite violence. (Not towards our staff, not towards people we disagree with, not towards anyone. Please be nice people. Thanks.)

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Posted by: [Andrew](#) | [April 4, 2010 7:54 PM](#)

Anyone still believing in AGW is suffering from True Believer Syndrome.

AGW is no longer about climate science. It is about a social movement seeking to impose its demands on the world. The evidence is that AGW promoters have used false data, corrupt means and political push to to advance the demands of groups like Greenpeace is obvious.

To continue to grant the AGW movement credibility takes a deliberate act of evasion on the part of the true believers. Part of that evasion is to distract people with increasingly shrill demands for violence against those perceived as enemies.

Posted by: [hunter](#) | [April 4, 2010 7:56 PM](#)

This is my tipping point!

Posted by: [Ibrahim](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:00 PM](#)

Gene wrote: "If you're one of those who have spent their lives undermining progressive climate legislation, bankrolling junk science, fueling spurious debates around false solutions, and cattle-prodding democratically-elected governments into submission...."

Like so many True Believers, it seems that Gene has more than a few issues with the practice of democracy and legal forms of seeking redress - at least when those who use such forms don't agree with him.

Take care, Gene, lest your righteousness incites actions you'll have trouble defending.

And before you say it, Juliette, the confrontational tone Gene uses might be intended as non-violent, but even non-violent actions can be illegal and will be viewed by opponents as threatening their legal rights, if not their persons.

Hi Mike,

I agree that non-violence is sometimes illegal, and Greenpeace activists have stood proud in court in the past, not cowering away from the consequences of their actions - though in some cases, the damage they prevented has been established to be enough to justify their actions and find them non-guilty.

Happy Easter,

Juliette

Posted by: [Mike Spehar](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:01 PM](#)

If Greenpeace truly does not support the positions and ideas asserted in the above article, I suggest you print a rebuttal making clear the organization's position vis-a-vis this particular member's.

And internal debate open for all to see on the matter would be good for everyone.

The question is, do you have the organizational courage to do so?

Time will tell. Because we all know that the blogger in question is not alone in his beliefs, nor does he hold the most radical views.

Rather, he is the tip of an iceberg in a sea full of icebergs...

Dear Dr. Deano,

As stated in the introduction of this blog, Gene is not known to be a diplomat in the Greenpeace world and his ideas and ways of writing can be controversial.

However, what Gene talks about above is civil disobedience and non-violent direct action - two tactics that Greenpeace has been using for a very long time.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Dr. Deano | [April 4, 2010 8:02 PM](#)

Phil Jones himself admitted there's been no statistically significant warming in fifteen years. AGW theory is in the toilet now.

But do continue with your embarrassing spasms of twee rage. Do continue to threaten violence with your laughable "We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work." It's an enjoyable spectacle.

Let me remind you again of what you've said on the Web, which is now permanent:

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

That will be around 25 years from now to embarrass you. You should apologize right away for this little spasm of hatred and threat of violence.

Hi Jim,

*On your first point: **you're selectively quoting.***

On your second point: you're also selectively quoting, ignoring the entire blog post before that led up to that.

Wishing you a happy Easter,

Juliette.

Posted by: Jim Ryan | [April 4, 2010 8:03 PM](#)

She was understandably freaked out by the violent tone of some of the people posting.

But she wasn't freaked out by her own threats of violence at our

homes and our workplaces? You have got to be kidding.

This blog is a joke.

Hi again Jim,

Considering quite a few of the comments consisted in death and rape threats - and not matter how many times I read the blog above, I couldn't find similar threats - I felt, as Andrew put it "freaked out".

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Jim Ryan | [April 4, 2010 8:05 PM](#)

GreenPeace has outed itself as a radical, violent, anti-science group with this article. Man made global warming is falling apart because the science does not confirm it. In fact, science, real science, continues to expose it as a sham. Resorting to violent taunts is the last act of a deperate, defeated, shameful organization. GreenPeace deserves to be relegated to the ash heap of history just like other thug ideologies like communism.

Posted by: Jenny | [April 4, 2010 8:06 PM](#)

Hilarious.

Juliette was freaked out by the responses to a post that contained threats.

The original post incites violence far more than any of the comments I've read.

What's that old saying about throwing stones from glass houses?

Considering quite a few of the comments consisted in death and rape threats - and not matter how many times I read the blog above, I couldn't find similar threats - I felt, as Andrew put it, "freaked out".

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Xanthippe | [April 4, 2010 8:08 PM](#)

Neo-luddites unite!

Posted by: kcs | [April 4, 2010 8:10 PM](#)

If you all can't see that your own post is what has incited these "violent" responses then your heads are further up your asses then I thought.

You reap what you sow. Urging the breaking of laws and using veiled, empty threats against those you disagree with seem like fighting words to me.

Posted by: Sven | [April 4, 2010 8:11 PM](#)

" hear this:

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

Gee, would you be allowed to speak at Ottawa U saying such things? Sounds like what some people call Hate Speech to me.

Posted by: Chris | [April 4, 2010 8:14 PM](#)

And we be armed.

(Sorry, Andrew, I'm not buying it. "We know who you are, we know where you live, and we are tired of failing with legal means, so we're taking direct action," is a direct threat. If that's not what Greenpeace means, then you should delete the post and renounce the threat.)

Hi Charlie,

Re-posting a comment from above:

I'm sorry that you don't seem to know the difference between non-violent direct action and violence. For us, the difference is quite clear, and Gene even gave examples in his blog:

"We need to hit them where it hurts most, by any means necessary: through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and more.

We need to be inclusive. We need to join forces with those within the climate movement that are taking direct action to disrupt the CO2 supply chain. We need to embrace the conservatives too, the ones that choose scientific rigour and court injunctions as their weapons."

I don't think we need violence to be a force for positive change - and neither does Gene.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Charlie (Colorado) | [April 4, 2010 8:14 PM](#)

The closing part of the article definitely comes across as a not-so-veiled threat (in more than just the civil disobedience sense).

If you want to marginalize your organization, this is the way to go about it. To me this is not in the spirit of Greenpeace - c'mon clean up your act guys! (Sounds like the buck stops with Andrew)

Hi Oliver,

I'm sorry you take it that way, but as Andrew has explained, this is not what is meant by Gene, or by anyone in Greenpeace.

Non-violent direct action is, well, non-violent. Violence is indeed not the spirit of Greenpeace, and never will be. If anyone is aking the closure of this blog as a threat of violence, then they can feel re-assured that this is not the case.

Peace,

Juliette

Posted by: Oliver | [April 4, 2010 8:18 PM](#)

When did ELF and GreenPeace finally merge?

Posted by: [A.R. Jones/TmjUtah](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:20 PM](#)

We're the one's who believe in junk science? Ha-ha...

Okay, environmental "outlaws".. (LOL).. here I am. You have my IP address. Come and get me, badboy..

You green pissers are too funny.. :)

Posted by: [sunny black](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:21 PM](#)

Andrew and Juliette:

You are two disingenuous cowards.

You post a threat to the world and expect the world to hug you?!

You compare your missive to the approaches of Ghandi or Martin Luther King? Really? Where are there published manifestos of incitement to law breaking, and threats of violence. And save me the rubbish of how no threat of violence is implied.

Wrong. We don't have to be nice. Not anymore.

Hi Moray,

I don't expect the world to hug me - and neither am I a coward. If you choose to believe a threat of violence appears in the blog entry above, I don't think there will be much I can do to change your mind.

Peace, always,

Juliette

Posted by: [moray watson](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:22 PM](#)

Gene's comments are not a surprise given that most greens are rooted in the ideology of progressive eugenics. You hate humanity and human achievement and every now and again the mask of reasonableness slips....

Posted by: [LiamH](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:23 PM](#)

Great. Juliette aka "Outlaw Cozy Whales" is "freaked out" by the comments, but not the threatening post?

Grow up.

The next Greenpeace person who accosts me on the street or shows up at my door asking for money...'cause they DON'T know where I live, ya see...is going to get an earful. We all outlaws now. Me and Ghandi and Gene.

Andrew, you'd better "have a chat" or perhaps a series of "chats" with Gene and thank him for pissing off your former donors. Namely, me.

Nice tone. Good Luck with that strategy.

Posted by: [Greenpeace Skills, But Who's Buying?](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:23 PM](#)

Green"peace" is beginning to sound like the Japanese Red Army, the Baader Meinhof Gang, and the Symbionese Liberation Army and other self-deluded radical groups of the 1970s.

"Hi all,

I had a chat with Juliette and we decided to re-open the comments (they were closed for about an hour).

She was understandably freaked out by the violent tone of some of the people posting.

While we encourage and appreciate discussion in the comments, do stay polite and don't incite violence. (Not towards our staff, not towards people we disagree with, not towards anyone. Please be nice people. Thanks.)"

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

What self-righteous, self-serving tripe given that it is Green"peace" that is threatening people:

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

who disagree with their fanatical eco-religious beliefs.

Posted by: Colonel Sun | [April 4, 2010 8:23 PM](#)

I have forwarded this article to the Department of Homeland Security. I do not believe terrorist organizations are eligible for tax exemptions or corporate existence in the United States. Go ahead, threaten me all you want. You have neither the courage nor the ability to carry out your threats.

Posted by: Ken Hahn | [April 4, 2010 8:27 PM](#)

Juliette was freaked out by some of the people posting? I'm freaked out by this article!

Posted by: bh | [April 4, 2010 8:30 PM](#)

You have got to be kidding me. You cut off comments because you are worried about the tone of comments in response to a blog that states all too clearly:

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

If you are going to hurl incendiary rhetoric on your blog, expect it in kind. You're assuming that your opponents don't know where you live and work as well. When you threaten to punch, don't be surprised when those who disagree with you threaten to punch right back.

This threatens to backfire on you badly - even if Gene did not explicitly threaten violence, can you not sense how this comes across? "We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work. And we be many, but you be few." This is extremist-talk. This evokes memories of the sort of nastiness animal rights organisation SHAC was up to in the UK before several activists-turned-outlaws were arrested and imprisoned in 2008. At their trial, Justice Butterfield said: "You are not going to prison for your beliefs, you are not going to prison for expressing your beliefs, you are going to prison because each of you has committed a very serious criminal offence." If Greenpeace actually does go down this road, it will be making a very serious error of judgement.

Posted by: Alex Cull | April 4, 2010 8:34 PM

I'm impartial and I clearly hear threats of violence in Gene's post. Only someone wearing blinders would say otherwise. Greenpeace has now become an eco-terrorist group and it will lose many supporters as a result. I stopped donating decades ago, when Greenpeace moved beyond saving whales (an effort I supported). You lie down with dogs, Greenpeace, you wake up with fleas. Remember that.

Posted by: Anna | April 4, 2010 8:34 PM

I don't know why anyone is surprised by this outburst. Greenpeace and other progressive/socialist organization are premised on the idea of imposing their will on others by threats of violence.

The thinking of a member of Greenpeace goes like this:

- (1) My understanding of the causes and solutions of problem X are absolutely correct and those who disagree with me are absolutely wrong.
- (2) This understanding that it gives me the moral right and even obligation to impose my solution on other people by any means necessary.
- (3) My preferred means of imposing my will on other people is to use the violence based coercive power of the state. I will force the state to pass laws that conform to my will and if other people disagree, the state will hurt or kill them unless they conform to my will.
- (4) However, since I am absolutely right, if the state will not enforce my will on other, I have the moral right and obligation to do so directly.

Greenpeace is just a branch on the tree of absolute righteousness that in the 20th century became the secular form of religious zealotry. Only instead of faith in the absolute correctness of their

deity, they have faith in the absolute correctness and infallibility of their own intellects.

People in Greenpeace have an intellectual immune system that keeps them from considering contrary ideas. If you disagree with them, well you're just corrupt. Only people in Greenpeace are wise, thoughtful and acting from the purest motives. Everyone else is just scum.

But of course, that is the ultimate emotional appeal of Greenpeace isn't it? You get to be a shining knight riding in and "saving the world". And if the world doesn't need saving? Well, you create a narrative wherein it is in danger and smear anyone who disagrees.

In the end though, they will never be able to admit they were wrong. They can't. It's part of their entire concept of their own self-worth.

This why the author of the parent is driven to such extremes. His inability to impose his will on everyone else produce great emotional anguish. His entire world view and sense of self-worth is threatened by his impotence.

This will not end well.

Posted by: [Shannon Love](#) | [April 4, 2010 8:35 PM](#)

What a transparent dodge. Go ahead and cloak yourselves in borrowed raiment, but it won't do any good - a child can see that the emperor has no clothes. You folks sure ain't no Martin Luther Kings. I don't recall King ever saying anything like "we know where you live." I suspect he'd have been disgusted by the implications. That's why he was a great man, and why you aren't. And for chrissakes don't pretend to be shocked by the "violent tone" of the responses. You started it; the "violent tonists" are just declaring their intent to defend themselves from assault by people like you.

Meanwhile, take some advice from an old man - be careful when you fiddle with your medications. You're not as young as you were in the '60s.

Posted by: tom swift | [April 4, 2010 8:37 PM](#)

Gosh;
Threats now.
We are shaking in our socks.

Posted by: Mark Richardson | [April 4, 2010 8:40 PM](#)

You're way out of line.

Posted by: Ibrahim | [April 4, 2010 8:43 PM](#)

Greenpeace behaved in a shameful way during the great international affair between Argentina and Uruguay, about the Finnish pulp mill installed on the Uruguay River in 2005: they

presented the people in the Argentinean side with false claims of future pollution that instilled in their minds a tremendous psychosis against the pulp mill.

Greenpeace even brought mercenaries from Europe to perform commando attacks and attempted an invasion on the construction site; tried to hang banners and flags on the cranks and the harbor structures –invading private property, a crime all over the world. They later stopped truck traffic crossing the bridge between Argentina and Uruguay, inciting a closure of the bridge by picketers –closure that has remained until today, for three years without end, a crime against any laws and national constitutions.

Much later, when the matter worsened and some people got killed by the picketer's actions, Greenpeace retreated in its posture because was losing the people's support in Argentina. They promoted the whole thing and afterwards said they don't condone violence –a violence they started in the first place!

<http://www.mitosyfraudes.org/Polit/Normandia-papeleras.html>

Posted by: Eduardo | April 4, 2010 8:48 PM

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

I know a woman who has posted -- unpaid, and unsolicited -- comments on her web site critical of AGW. She's also a known feminist and a survivor of domestic violence, who has received death threats in the past. I'm quite sure that she would regard "we know where you live" as a direct threat. What would you think if a masculinist group issued such a statement to her?

It's clear to me that you have lost all claim to being progressive, or peaceful. Spare me the excuse that Gene didn't threaten anything more than civil disobedience. For civil disobedience you don't need to know where I live. You are issuing threats against people's homes and workplaces, and unless you remove those threats completely, and censure the man who made them, I can only conclude that Greenpeace endorses those threats.

Posted by: Brad R | April 4, 2010 8:50 PM

"we be many, you be few" Arrrr, it's the greenie pirates! Avast with the SUV, matey, shiver me timbers!

Personally, I'd love to sentence all Luddite greenies to spend winters in the middle of a field not "impacting the enviroment". IE, no heat, no shelter, no food that they couldn't find in nature. It would teach them a lesson in reality and thin them out at the same time.

Posted by: mrw | April 4, 2010 8:52 PM

We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few.

We use non-violent confrontation to raise the level
and quality of public debate.

Hmmm, the term "hypocrisy" comes to mind when reading those
two statements.

Posted by: Steve | [April 4, 2010 8:58 PM](#)

thanks for the laugh. if you all really think you can make a
measurable difference beyond making yourselves feel better about
your lives, then go nuts.

personally, i think you all are pathetic.

Posted by: jcrue | [April 4, 2010 8:59 PM](#)

Juliette was freaked out by the 'violent tone' of the comments?

Did she not read the article itself - threatening anyone who does
not drink the global warming kool-aid?

You guys are *desperate*. It is easy to see why: belief that global
warming is a real problem is dropping like a rock in the polls.

Don't take my word for it:

http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/politics/current_ev

Your fascist Green Shirt thuggery is an absolute disgrace.

Posted by: Ken McCracken | [April 4, 2010 9:00 PM](#)

Now that the comments are open, how about posting ALL the
comments before they were closed.

Posted by: Glen | [April 4, 2010 9:02 PM](#)

I demand an unequivocal withdrawal of the threats made by Gene,
there is no misunderstanding what was said. The police would
interpret these in the same way and by endorsing these
statements you risk losing your charitable status and open up
yourselves to a lot of back taxes. Gene has crossed a line and we
all want an apology.

Posted by: Bob the builder | [April 4, 2010 9:04 PM](#)

In the words of our Glorious Leader, Barack HUSSEIN Obama,
may his name never be spoken, if they bring a knife, we will bring
a gun, etc etc etc. We will argue and get in their face, and if they
want this fight, it's a fight we are glad to have. I'm sure there's
more, but frankly I tune the First Elucidator out after about 24
seconds

Posted by: Rick554 | [April 4, 2010 9:04 PM](#)

**Gene is calling for PEACEFUL civil disobedience (protests).
Hear him talk for himself... <http://bit.ly/dsaLZ5>**

Dear Gene Hashmi, the communication director of Greenpeace India, Juliette, and Andrew,

thank you for re-opening the discussion and thanks to Gene for his kind words to us, the deniers. We're eagerly waiting for your friendly visit that will try to reduce our number (currently around 4 billion). Some of us have peacefully prepared friendly firearms to celebrate your arrival to our homes and workplaces.

May I ask you for a favor? You know where I live so I don't have to give you my address. Could you please send me an official explanation that Greenpeace has become a criminal and terrorist organization, an army of outlaws?

It could be helpful to officially confirm your new bold status here in the Czech Republic. Here, we have laws that similar organizations are illegal. A nationalist xenophobic worker's party was just officially banned. So I think you would enjoy the same award. Right now, you look like just another boring average legal NGO and it must surely be irritating to you.

I am not sure about Mr Jan Rovenský, my childhood's 2-week friend from a camp in a Russian twin city of ours, who is the Czech Greenpeace director for climate and energy campaigns.

He may prefer his current convenient job - in which he sends plans of our biggest power plants to bashi-bazooks in Micronesia, with the explanation that their island will be sunk by the CO2 emissions from our plant, and who sometimes climbs a tree, chimney, or sleeps in front of a power plant.

However, I am not sure whether he's good enough at shooting. :-)

Congratulations to Greenpeace India for having such a great communication director, Gene Hashmi, who can reveal the truly friendly and peaceful face of Greenpeace to everyone, even those who have had some doubts that environmentalism and its most radical form, the anthropogenic global warming alarmism, has replaced communism and Nazism as the greatest ideological threat to freedom and democracy in the world.

BTW in order to make the threads more comprehensible and readable, could dear Juliette please change her name to Eva Braun? People could get a faster understanding for her night rhythms etc. and they wouldn't confuse her with other ladies who contribute here. Thanks!

Best wishes from your denier

Lubos Motl, you know where I live

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where

you work.

And we be many, but you be few."

Bring it on. I'll be waiting for you. And I'll be fully prepared.

Posted by: zgystardst | [April 4, 2010 9:12 PM](#)

Nonsense, Andrew. "We know where you live" is not an encouragement to "non-violent" action. It is a threat of violence. The author needs to understand that such rhetoric rebounds on her.

Those who do not share her opinion are not going to appreciate it.

Posted by: SPQR | [April 4, 2010 9:14 PM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

I sincerely hope you knock on my door and introduce yourself some day...

Posted by: thebronze | [April 4, 2010 9:15 PM](#)

"I had a chat with Juliette and we decided to re-open the comments (they were closed for about an hour).

She was understandably freaked out by the violent tone of some of the people posting."

Are you for real? You post an article that threatens violence on anyone who disagrees with your AGW scam and then claim to be freaked out by the violent tone! I almost feel sorry for you little pantywaist pinkos.

Posted by: RustyG | [April 4, 2010 9:19 PM](#)

What possible higher good are you serving?

MLK, Parks, Mandela, Ghandi...these people fought peacefully for the /freedom/ of their peers, for the right to /rule themselves/, to be responsible for their own destiny.

There are people who don't have food, or water, or the weapons to fight disease, who are going blind because they still have to use campfires for light, in this world. Thousands, and millions of them.

And what does GP stand for? You are going to fight /corporations/? The evils of commerce and technology?

The problem with political corruption is not that politicians /can be bribed/, it is that politicians have enough power over the daily lives of good and well-meaning people that someone can wield that power by bribing them!

You think the solution to the wrongs of society is to pool and then somehow dominate the basis of power; what you apparently do

not see through the annals and the chronicles of history, time and again, over and OVER AND OVER, is that when anyone collects power over the masses, that power becomes /destructive/.

In order to get people to do what you want, you are going to have to /break them/. How many is GP going to beat, to ruin, to kill, so that you can have the control you want? How many? Because before you have that control, you are going to have to do all of those things.

And now, having made a post of an unveiled and threatening nature, you return talking of how you are offended by the tone of the comments?

How /dare/ you? These are /responses/ to a purposeful and clear set of threats, and you imagine to occupy the moral high ground by dropping the names of some actual peaceful people?

No, Miss. Badly done.

Your blatant dishonesty and, further, your attempt to sidestep your personal responsibility for every ounce of vitriol present in these comments brings shame to your organization and discredit to your movement.

Shame, Miss.

Posted by: [Cody](#) | [April 4, 2010 9:20 PM](#)

'She was understandably freaked out by the violent tone of some of the people posting.'

When you threaten violence (and then have the GALL to claim you are speaking of 'non-violent' actions), you shouldn't be surprised to be called on your own frigging Stupidity.

Posted by: [Otter](#) | [April 4, 2010 9:21 PM](#)

And now Greenpeace confirms themselves to be the terrorist organization we have known them to be all along. You know where I live? Bring it. I dare you.

Posted by: [Mark in IA](#) | [April 4, 2010 9:22 PM](#)

Gandhi promoted peaceful civil disobedience against a British colonial government whose head was the wife of Prince Philip, founder of WWF and now behind the scene Greenpeace's controller. And Gandhi's people was machine-gunned mercilessly by those who now promote Global Warming. How can we have any confidence that we're not going to receive the same treatment? Your word, or theirs? May I doubt it?

Posted by: [Edward](#) | [April 4, 2010 9:27 PM](#)

Juliette:

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where

you work.

And we be many, but you be few."

May I direct you to the phrase finder:

[We know where you live](#)

As noted, this is a well-known thuggish threat of violence, likely for millenia.

If you did not know this, maybe it's because you are peaceable and have lived a sheltered life. Perhaps you don't understand all the dimensions of your associates.

But if, after reviewing the information I have just provided, you continue to maintain the the post contains no violent threats, then either you are disingenuous or lacking in basic intelligence.

Posted by: KBK | [April 4, 2010 9:27 PM](#)

I haven't had so much fun reading this tripe since my 12 yr. olddaughter threatened to call Child Protective services because I wouldn't let her go on a sleepover.

For the love of God Greenies, please grow up- NOW!

Posted by: Ralph | [April 4, 2010 9:42 PM](#)

Well, since you know where I live, feel free to stop on by. I'm not scared a bit. You'll be met by the second amendment.

"Peace."

Posted by: Steve | [April 4, 2010 9:43 PM](#)

Wow! To take one line of a blog-post utterly out of context and use it as a starting point for childish verbal abuse. Now that's one interesting way to spend Easter for a kid on a Pokemon forum, but adults on an serious topic? Just weird.. :-/

@Juliana Leblanc: "And trust me, once an organization becomes part of a countries terrorist watchlist, it will be easy to find out who has donated to Greenpeace..albeit it is already easy to do so."

Here's an easy one: I'm on that donor list, and so are millions of individuals with me. Should I be afraid that the secret police is going to grab me from my bed at night, for donating to an organisation with a proven track record in improving the very world you and I live in? Apparently you think I should. Now that's depressing.

Many of the things we take for granted in our lives have come through the actions of but a few activist who went the extra bit with non-violent civil disobedience to create awareness, awesomeness and momentum. Greenpeace is one of the groups performing this role in the climate change issue.

I donate to Greenpeace because in 2035 I do not want to explain to my kids that even though we at least had the chance to solve some known problems we simply couldn't be arsed due to the opposition of some disgruntled old politicians, baby boomer and naysayers who happened to be in power in 2010 (and were sure to be dead before the nastiness kicked in). I'll do what I can myself, but it helps that an organisation with a proven track-record in changing the world for the better works on it as well. So;

Keep fighting this upcoming catastrophe Greenpeace. Please do!

Posted by: PepijnK | [April 4, 2010 9:44 PM](#)

You're wrong about the many/few part, and even if you hadn't been, you forgot that most of the alleged "few" are armed and will protect themselves.

Simply shouting the same discredited tripe, thinking you can cow the people whose wallets you want to empty and whose lives you want to run, isn't a viable option for you any longer.

Deal with it. And be careful - we're tired as hell of your propaganda and won't be fooled.

Posted by: Armed | [April 4, 2010 9:51 PM](#)

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work."

Then you must know I'm well armed and trained. Come.

Posted by: dee | [April 4, 2010 9:52 PM](#)

If you are shocked at "violent threats", you shouldn't have used one of the most cheesy classical Maffia-style threats.

"We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work.

And we be many, but you be few."

Cool. Classic.. The only things missing are "We know where your kids go to school. And you don't want anything... untoward... happen, do you? Things can break, for example..."

Simply put, making thinly-veiled threats calls for appropriate response.

Oh and btw may I ask, why temperature data from Czech Republic for AGW "proofs" are taken only from the warmest spots?

Marek

Posted by: Marek | [April 4, 2010 9:58 PM](#)

"We know where you live" and "we be many"

What "Direct Action" do you plan on bringing to the families of

these "few" people you've decided need to have outlaws let loose upon them?

I think you need to worry about your extremist, eliminationist rhetoric as it will lead to violence and you will be morally culpable. Threatening families is not something you should be doing, encouraging or allowing.

Posted by: Skullberg | [April 4, 2010 10:05 PM](#)

You damage your cause with statements like this, "We know who you are. We know where you live. We know where you work. And we be many, but you be few."

You end up sounding like every other wacko terrorist org. out there.

It is unfortunate that Greenpeace has become a socio-political tool pushing far left ideology instead of fighting for and achieving realistic goals for our planet and not just the manufacture of video clips for their donors.

Posted by: Mike Dugas | [April 4, 2010 10:10 PM](#)

Juliette: If some right-wing activist posted something on his blog directed at environmentalists that said "we know where you live," I would expect you to feel threatened. If Gene's intentions are truly non-violent, why is he interested in where his adversaries live? Is he going to their houses? I think you need to take a step back and realize that the tone of this post feels threatening to people. I certainly does to me! The phrases "we know where you live," and "by any means necessary" are associated with violent political movements. Seriously. Say I oppose your policies. Are you going to come to my house? What are you going to do when you get here? Will you use "any means" to change my opinion? Juliette, no matter how many times you write "peaceful" and "happy easter," this post really does feel like a threat of violence. It just does.

Hi Lasorda,

I understand that what Gene wrote might feel threatening to some. however, Andrew and I have been trying to re-assure everyone that no, Gene isn't threatening anyone with violence - he is a nice man, a peaceful person - and he's not coming to your house. I won't use "any means" to change your opinion. I will engage in discussion with you.

If, however, corporate lobbies are endangering our planet's climate and people's lives for profit, it would be shameful of us not to do anything. This is why we take non-violent direct action.

Peace (yes, I mean it),

Juliette

Posted by: Lasorda | [April 4, 2010 10:11 PM](#)

Gosh. Who would have thought it would come to this. Here I am

covering under me wool blankie, typing this message by the light of an incandescent bulb...when will they come for me??? Who will turn me in??? Will they find my and publish my diary in the dawning of this brave new world????

Actually nice to get a comment from someone who has a sense of humor. Thanks for keeping it light.

Just so you know, Gene is a peaceful guy, as are all of us.

We're going to hold the big money that's skewing the climate debate to account. Here's [an example of what Gene's talking about](#).

And we're going to do it while staying true to our principle of peaceful direct action.

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Posted by: Jamie MacMaster | [April 4, 2010 10:12 PM](#)

Chère Juliette,

Au vu des commentaires, il paraît évident que la conclusion de l'article (nous savons où vous vivez etc..), quelle que soit son intention, sonne aux oreilles de tout le monde comme une menace infantile au mieux, un menace intolérable au pire.

Cette conclusion entraîne tout l'article, qui est autrement intéressant, avec lui dans la catégorie des crises de rages à ne pas prendre au sérieux, ce qui est regrettable - car agir à la manière de Gandhi est peut-être une solution pour rendre le monde attentif à un problème, qui sait?

Je ne juge pas le fond et ne partage pas forcément vos opinions, mais si vous voulez arrêter ce débat stérile, pourquoi ne pas simplement accepter que c'était une erreur et éliminer cette conclusion?

Bonnes salutations,

Laurent

Cher Laurent,

Merci pour votre commentaire constructif et courtois.

Je suis d'accord avec vous qu'il serait dommage que l'article entier ne se résume à sa dernière ligne.

A mon sincère avis, ce n'est pas le cas. Je réalise parfaitement que tout le monde ne l'entend pas de cette façon, et c'est la raison pour laquelle Andrew et moi avons répondu à de nombreux commentaires - et ajouté une explication en introduction - pour affirmer que ce n'est pas le cas.

Si les dernières phrases du blog font perdre à vos yeux la valeur du reste, je trouve cela très dommage, mais je n'y peux rien.

Sincèrement,

Juliette

What does "knowing where you live" have to do with "civil disobedience"?

We're exposing the hidden money behind climate skeptics. Who they work for, who they pay, etc. Like [Koch industries](#), for example.

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Posted by: Andrew Diseker | [April 4, 2010 10:47 PM](#)

Sounds like poor little Gene must have lost his trust fund trading carbon credits. He should have shorted them like I did right after the climate gate and copenhagen fiasco's. I was able to buy a new Hemi SUV with the profits!

He needs to go up the Arctic where the sea ice has returned to normal and cool off.

Posted by: robtr | [April 4, 2010 10:47 PM](#)

Juliette - Do you not understand that the Greenpeace blog (it may have been written by an individual, but as a member of your organization he speaks for all of you) uses the language of hate? That's nice that you justify these actions by lawyering your way around the issue, but an unintentional call to violence still has the same end effect as an intentional one.

Others, who may not be as well educated as you, will be able to make the fine distinction in tone and substance that you apparently are able to articulate. In the schoolyard as a kid we all learned what "we know where you live" means. There is no mistaking this message.

You cannot have it both ways. Either preach hate and be honest about it, or be careful with what you say so as not to antagonize those, who don't need a lot of prodding in the first place, to do harm to others.

If you truly believe what you are saying in these posts, you will speak out against these unnecessarily hateful remarks. If not, well...you're not a very good person, are you?

Actually no. For one thing - Gene speaks for Gene. That's why his name is on it. Sure, he works for Greenpeace, but he's a person not a puppet.

I don't think he uses "language of violence". Passion, yes. But I like to think I live in a world where passion is OK.

I have posted my own note above - just to make absolutely sure no one is confused.

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

Juliette

You write:

At no point in this entry did Gene threaten to do anything more than civil disobedience and non-violent direct action - neither of which involve any kind of violence

There are two problems with your stance on the topic.

First of all, even if the text ends mentioning "*mass civil disobedience*", it also includes "*We need to **hit** them where it hurts most, by **any** means necessary: through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and **more***".

I have taken the liberty to emphasize the bits that may suggest violence is in the works.

Secondly, since the writer has described a progression from lawful interventions to unlawful ones because "*pressuring politicians on climate change is not working*", what is there to stop the same writer from advocating violent means in the future, if "*mass civil disobedience*" doesn't come up with the hoped-for results?

Words have meaning. Please let's all try not to be disingenuous.

Hey Maurizio,

I think Juliette has turned in for the night.

To your point - This is going to sound a little hippie la la but... It's possible the violence is in your mind.

Gene comes from India, where they have a big tradition of change through civil disobedience.

It's pretty reasonable that when he says, "through the power of our votes, our taxes, our wallets, and more" he means things like sit ins and other creative protests.

-- Andrew (Greenpeace web producer)

POST A COMMENT

(If you haven't left a comment here before, you may need to be approved by the site owner before your comment will appear. Until then, it won't appear on the entry. Thanks for waiting.)

Name:

Email Address:

URL:

Remember personal info?

Comments: (you may use HTML tags for style)

Preview

Post

[Contact us](#)

[Disclaimer](#)

[Technorati](#)

[RSS FULL FEED](#)

